

Natural Resources Conservation Service

Application Ranking Summary

Eagle MTN Lake

Program:	Ranking Date:	Application Number:
Ranking Tool: Eagle MTN Lake		Applicant:
Final Ranking Score:		Address:
Planner:		Telephone:
Farm Location:		

National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
If the application is for development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP), the agency will assign significant ranking priority and conservation benefit by answering "Yes" to the following question. Answering "Yes" to question 1a will result in the application being awarded the maximum amount of points that can be earned for the national priority category.	
1. a. Is the program application to support the development of a Conservation Activity Plan (CAP)? If answer is "Yes", do not answer any other national level questions. If answer is "No", proceed with evaluation to address the remaining questions in this section.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Water Quality Degradation – Will the proposed project improve water quality by: (select all that apply)	
2. a. Implementing the practices in a Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. b. Implementing the practices in a Nutrient Management Plan (NMP)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. c. Reducing impacts from sediment, nutrients, salinity, or pesticides on land adjoining a designated "impaired water body" (TMDL, 303d listed waterbody, or other State designation)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. d. Reducing the impacts from sediment, nutrients, salinity, or pesticides in a "non-impaired water body"?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. e. Implementing practices that improve water quality through animal mortality and carcass management?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Water Conservation – Will the proposed project conserve water by: (select all that apply)	
3. a. Implementing irrigation practices that reduce aquifer overdraft.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. b. Implementing irrigation practices that reduce on-farm water use?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. c. Implementing practices in an area where the applicant participates in a geographically established or watershed-wide project?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. d. Implementing practices that reduce on-farm water use as a result of changing to crops with lower water consumptive use, the rotation of crops, or the modification of cultural operations?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Air Quality - Will the proposed project improve air quality by: (select all that apply)	
4. a. Meeting on-farm regulatory requirements relating to air quality or proactively avoid the need for regulatory measures?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. b. Implementing practices that reduce on-farm emissions of particulate matter (PM2.5, PM10)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. c. Implementing practices that reduce on-farm generated greenhouse gases such as carbon dioxide (CO2), methane (CH4), and nitrous oxide (N2O)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. d. Implementing practices that increase on-farm carbon sequestration?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Soil Health:– Will the proposed project improve soil health by: (select all that apply)	
5. a. Reduce erosion to tolerable limits (Soil "T")?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. b. Increasing organic matter and carbon content, and improving soil tilth and structure?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Wildlife Habitat – Will the proposed project improve wildlife habitat by: (select all that apply)	
6. a. Implementing practices benefitting threatened and endangered, at-risk, candidate, or species of concern.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. b. Implementing practices that retain wildlife and plant habitat on land exiting the Conservation	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Reserve Program (CRP) or other set-aside program?	
6. c. Implementing practices benefitting honey bee populations or other pollinators?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. d. Implementing land-based practices that improve habitat for aquatic wildlife?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Plant and Animal Communities: Will the proposed project improve plant and animal communities by: (select all that apply)	
7. a. Implementing practices that result in the management control of noxious or invasive plant species on non-cropland?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
7. b. Implementing practice in an Integrated Pest Management Plan (IPM)?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Energy Conservation– Will the proposed project reduce energy use by: (select all that apply)	
8. a. Reducing on-farm energy consumption?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
8. b. Implementing practice(s) identified in an approved AgEMP or energy audit, which meet ASABE S612 criteria?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Business Lines – Will the practices to be scheduled in the “EQIP Plan of Operations” result in:	
9. a. Enhancement of existing conservation practice(s) or conservation systems already in place at the time the application is received?	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Improvement calculated from comparison of the before and after Soil Condition Index (SCI) Worksheet. System to be applied and maintained during the contract. Soil Condition Index (SCI) improvement >.10	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Improvement calculated from comparison of the before and after Soil Condition Index (SCI) Worksheet. System to be applied and maintained during the contract period. SCI improvement from negative factor to 0.099	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. Reduction in soil erosion and sedimentation from unacceptable high levels (>T) on cropland fields under contract.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. The application includes installation or improvement of habitat directly benefiting pollinators.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. The application includes at least one of the following practices on an entire field unit: Conservation Cover (327), Range Seeding (550), No-Till (329), or Cover Crop (340) .	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Does this application reduce soil erosion by applying structural practices and/or management practices on all landuses. Must include at least 1 of the following 329 - Residue and tillage management, No Till/strip till or direct seed, 345 - Mulch Till, 346 - Ridge Till, 332 - Contour Buffer strips, 600 - Terraces, 342- Critical area planting, 412 - Grassed Wareway, 410 - Grade Stabilization structure, 340 - Cover Crop, or 393 - Filter Strip.	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
2. Does this application address other watershed resource concerns such as to improve Plant Health, Domestic Animals, and other resource concerns by applying other structural and/or management practices such as, 314 - Brush management, 528 - Prescribed Grazing, 378 - Pond, 516 - Pipeline, 533 - Pumping plant, 614 - Watering Facility, 642 - Water Well, 512 - Forage and Biomass Plantings , 550 - Range Planting or 382- Fence	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
3. a. Plant a native grass mix utilizing practice 512 - Forage and Biomass Planting	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
4. b. Plant an introduced grass utilizing practice 512 - Forage and Biomass Planting	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
5. a. Walnut Creek watershed	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
6. b. Blue Creek watershed	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
7. c. Salt Creek watershed	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
Does this application convert cultivated cropland to a perennial vegetative cover Answer only 9a or 9b	
8. a. To reduce the potential for loss of nutrients in surface water and maintain water quality, I will use EQIP to conduct annual soil test on my cropland and follow recommendations based on my yield goals. Application must include 590 - Precision Application	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
9. b. To reduce the potential for loss of nutrients in surface water and maintain water quality, I will use EQIP to conduct annual soil test on my cropland and follow recommendations based on my yield goals. Application must include 590 - Annual testing and budgeting	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>
10. c. To reduce the potential for loss of nutrients in surface water and maintain water quality, I will use	Yes <input type="radio"/> or No <input type="radio"/>

EQIP to conduct annual soil test on my pastureland and follow recommendations based on my yield goals. Application must include 590 - Annual testing and budgeting	
--	--

Land Use:

Resource Concerns	Practices
-------------------	-----------

Ranking Score

Efficiency:

Local Issues:

State Issues:

National Issues:

Final Ranking Score:

This ranking report is for your information. It does not in any way guarantee funding. When funding becomes available, you will be notified if your application is selected for funding. Some changes to the application may be required before a final contract is awarded.

Notes:

NRCS Representative:	Applicant Signature Not Required on this report for Contract Development unless required by State policy:
Signature Date:	Signature Date: